

Drain: ELWOOD WILSON **Drain #:** 126
Improvement/Arm: JOHN HOLLERAN
Operator: J. LIVINGSTON **Date:** 7-22-04
Drain Classification: Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1987

GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning JL
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains JL
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains _____
- Digitize & Attribute SSD _____
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch _____
- Stamp Plans _____
- Sum drain lengths & Validate JL
- Enter Improvements into Posse _____
- Enter Drain Age into Posse JL
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse JL
- Check Database entries for errors JL

Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost
Drain Length Log

Drain-Improvement: ELWOOD WILSON - JOHN HOLLERAN

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	7"	500'	500'		2 ⁰⁰ ¢/ft	1000 ⁰⁰ ¢
	10"	1600'	1600'		3 ⁰⁰ ¢/ft	4800 ⁰⁰ ¢
	12"	2300'	2300'		4 ⁰⁰ ¢/ft	9200 ⁰⁰ ¢
CONCRETE TILE (1958)	15"	1400'	1390'	-10'	5 ⁰⁰ ¢/ft	6950 ⁰⁰ ¢
TILE-ARM	8"	386'	386'		2 ⁰⁰ ¢/ft	772 ⁰⁰ ¢

Sum: 6186' 6176' *-10' \$22,722⁰⁰¢

Final Report: _____

Comments:

* 10' OF TILE VACATED DURING FISHERS OAKWOOD ARM CONSTRUCTION.

TILE THROUGH FISHERS OAKWOOD (12") REPLACED WITH 15" IN 1958, LEAVING
12" TILE UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM OF FISHERS OAKWOOD.

State of Indiana, Hamilton County, ss:

We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route; that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned, as we verily believe.

A. N. Jacy

E. J. ...

C. P. ...

Commissioners.

Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners, this 18 day of November, 1887, before me.

W. H. Evans

Clerk Circuit Court.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS

FAVORABLE.

W. H. Evans

Filed

Nov 18

1886

W. H. Evans

Clerk Circuit Court.

Wm. B. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis.

REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

To the Honorable Judge of the Hamilton Circuit Court:

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of John Holloran for the drainage of North East quarter of the North East quarter of Sec- 33 and the North West quarter of the North West quarter of section 34. Township Nineteen (19) North of Range five (5) East.

in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood will be of public utility, will benefit two public highways and that the same can be constructed at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected hereby and after causing the necessary surveys & examination to be made are of the opinion that the method of drainage should be as follows: By the construction of a ^{tile} ditch beginning 200 feet north of the NE corner of the 33-5-19-R-5 and run $S77^{\circ}N$ 500ft, $S87^{\circ}N$ 500ft, $S68^{\circ}N$ 200ft, $S87\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}N$ 200ft, $S89^{\circ}N$ 500ft, $S74^{\circ}N$ 200ft, $S59\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}N$ 600ft, $S37\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}N$ 475ft, $S88^{\circ}N$ 325ft, $S86^{\circ}N$ 400ft, $S70^{\circ}N$ 600ft, $S54^{\circ}N$ 800ft, $S50^{\circ}N$ 700ft, $S75^{\circ}N$ 300ft, and terminate 20 rods south of West corner of $S6\frac{1}{2}$, $S6\frac{1}{4}$, $N6\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 32-5-19-R-5 ~~also an~~ ^{an} ~~area~~ to said ditch beginning 190ft north of West of NE corner Sec 33-5-19-R-5 and run $N46^{\circ}W$ 386ft ~~and~~ ^{terminate} main ditch at stake 5.

For value - statement see 3 Page

They estimate the cost of constructing the work at 907 $\frac{41}{100}$ dollars. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury to

several tracts of land which will be affected by the work, as follows:

OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION.	S.	T.	R.	Acres.	Benefit	Benefit.
Calvin D. Mallery	SW ¹ / ₄ NW ³ / ₄	34	19	5	40	25 ⁰⁰	
John Holloran	NW ¹ / ₄ NW ³ / ₄	34	19	5	40	75 ⁰⁰	
Sam ^{Newton}	NE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	33	19	5	40	100 ⁰⁰	
Geo ^W Roubush	SW ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	27	19	5	40	100 ⁰⁰	
Geo & J. Stern	NE ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	28	19	5	40	175 ⁰⁰	
Geo & J. Stern	SW ¹ / ₄ SE ¹ / ₄	28	19	5	40	175 ⁰⁰	
Geo R. Roubush	SE ¹ / ₄ SW ¹ / ₄	28	19	5	40	50 ⁰⁰	
Matias J. Mahan, Alice and Sophia Kuschaw.	NW ¹ / ₄ NE ¹ / ₄	33	19	5	40	175 ⁰⁰	
Daniel R. Brown	NE ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	33	19	5	40	225 ⁰⁰	
Moses Smetzer & David B. Heintz	50 Acres off East side E ¹ / ₂ SW ¹ / ₄	28	19	5	50	100 ⁰⁰	
Anthony Hamble	25 acres off North side of NW ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	33	19	5	25	400 ⁰⁰	
Wm. Hammond	15 acres off South side NW ¹ / ₄ NW ¹ / ₄	33	19	5	15	100 ⁰⁰	
Public Highway North & South	1/2 ^{City} 28	19	5			100 ⁰⁰	
" " " "	1/2 ^{County} NW ¹ / ₄	33	19	5		250 ⁰⁰	
						119 500	Less \$33 75

Holleran Marie Ditch

No. of Stations	Depth of Cut.	Width at Bottom.	Width at Top.	No. Cubic Yards.	Cost per Cubic Yard.	Cost per Section.	No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width at Bottom.	Width at Top.	No. Cubic Yards.	Cost per Cubic Yard.	Cost per Section.		
0	3.3														
1	3.1	10"	10"	15	12 1/2	1 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	7 in	3.94	0.60	0.25	0.50	1.00	8 16 1/2
2	3.5	"	"	15	"	1 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	7 "	3.94	0.60	0.25	0.50	1.00	8 16 1/2
3	2.9	"	"	15	"	1 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	7 "	3.94	0.60	0.25	0.50	1.00	8 16 1/2
4	3.2	"	"	15	"	1 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	7 "	3.94	0.60	0.25	0.50	1.00	8 16 1/2
5	4.3	"	"	18	"	2 25	1	6 3/32	7 5	3.94	0.60	0.25	0.50	1.00	8 54
6	5.5	15 1/2	15 1/2	26	"	3 25	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 91	
7	5.6	"	"	30	"	3 75	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	12 41	
8	5.3	"	"	30	"	3 75	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	12 41	
9	5.0	"	"	26	"	3 25	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 91	
10	4.9	"	"	26	"	3 25	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 91	
11	4.5	"	"	25	"	3 12 1/2	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 78 1/2	
Stumps 12	4.2	"	"	23	"	2 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 53 1/2	
13	3.9	"	"	22	"	2 75	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 41	
14	3.9	"	"	20	"	2 50	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 16	
15	3.7	"	"	20	"	2 50	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	11 16	
16	3.1	"	"	18	"	2 25	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	10 91	
17	3.0	"	"	16	"	2 00	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	10 66	
18	3.6	"	"	16	"	2 00	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	10 66	
19	3.3	"	"	16	"	2 00	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.00	10 66	
20	3.0	"	"	16	"	2 00	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	1.20	10 86	
21	4.1	"	"	18	"	2 25	1	6 3/32	10 "	6.06	1.20	.40	0.50	1.20	11 64
22	3.6	18 in	18 in	20	"	2 50	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 22
23	4.1	"	"	20	"	2 50	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 22
24	3.9	"	"	22	"	2 75	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 42
Mahan 25	4.5	"	"	23	"	2 87 1/2	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 59
26	5.1	"	"	25	"	3 12 1/2	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 81
27	4.9	"	"	25	"	3 12 1/2	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 76
28	3.3	"	"	22	"	2 75	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 42
29	4.4	"	"	20	"	2 50	1	6 3/32	12 "	9.09	1.33	.60	0.50	1.20	13 22

No. of Station.
 Depth of Cut.
 Width at Bottom.
 Width at Top.
 No. Cubic Yards.
 Cost per Cubic Yard.
 Cost per Section.
 No. of Station.
 Depth of Cut.
 Width at Bottom.
 Width at Top.
 No. Cubic Yards.
 Cost per Cubic Yard.
 Cost per Section.

Horan

No. of Station	Depth of Cut	Width at Bottom	Width at Top	No. Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard	Cost per	Section	No. of Station	Depth of Cut	Width at Bottom	Width at Top	No. Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard	Cost per	Section
30	5.1	18in	18in	24	12 1/2	300	1	31	3.0	"	"	22	"	275	1
31	3.0	"	"	22	"	275	1	32	3.7	"	"	18	"	275	1
32	3.7	"	"	18	"	275	1	33	7.0	"	"	21	"	62 1/2	1
33	7.0	"	"	21	"	62 1/2	1	34	5.5	"	"	30	"	375	1
34	5.5	"	"	30	"	375	1	35	5.7	"	"	35	"	437 1/2	1
35	5.7	"	"	35	"	437 1/2	1	36	5.9	"	"	40	"	500	1
36	5.9	"	"	40	"	500	1	37	7.5	"	"	50	"	625	1
37	7.5	"	"	50	"	625	1	38	6.8	"	"	50	"	625	1
38	6.8	"	"	50	"	625	1	39	6.3	"	"	50	"	625	1
39	6.3	"	"	50	"	625	1	40	6.1	"	"	50	"	625	1
40	6.1	"	"	50	"	625	1	41	5.9	"	"	40	"	500	1
41	5.9	"	"	40	"	500	1	42	4.8	"	"	30	"	375	1
42	4.8	"	"	30	"	375	1	43	4.6	"	"	30	"	375	1
43	4.6	"	"	30	"	375	1	44	5.2	"	"	30	"	375	1
44	5.2	"	"	30	"	375	1	45	5.1	"	"	30	"	375	1
45	5.1	"	"	30	"	375	1	46	4.8	"	"	30	"	375	1
46	4.8	"	"	30	"	375	1	47	2.9	"	"	20	"	250	1
47	2.9	"	"	20	"	250	1	48	4.2	"	"	20	"	250	1
48	4.2	"	"	20	"	250	1	49	5.4	"	"	30	"	375	1
49	5.4	"	"	30	"	375	1	50	5.6	"	"	35	"	437 1/2	1
50	5.6	"	"	35	"	437 1/2	1	51	6.0	"	"	40	"	500	1
51	6.0	"	"	40	"	500	1	52	5.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
52	5.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	53	6.5	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
53	6.5	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	54	6.6	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
54	6.6	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	55	6.0	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
55	6.0	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	56	5.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
56	5.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	57	6.4	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
57	6.4	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	58	4.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1
58	4.9	"	"	45	"	562 1/2	1	59	6.8	14 1/2	14.6	40	"	500	1
59	6.8	14 1/2	14.6	40	"	500	1								

10800

13500

226664

39233

79000

30000

233000

59112

No. of Station	Depth of Cut	Width at Bottom	Width at Top	No. Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard	Cost per	Section
60	6.9	14.8	20	12 1/2	2.50		
61	6.0	13.0	20		2.50		
62	7.4	15.8	20		2.50		
63	6.5	14.0	20		2.50		
64	6.0	13.0	20		2.50		
65	6.0	13.0	15		1.87 1/2		
66	5.7	12.4	10		1.25		
67	5.2	11.4	10		1.25		
68	4.7	10.4	5		62 1/2		

Run to Holloran Ditch
 1st Page \$ 348.04 1/2
 2" " 503.85
 3" " 17.50
 Cost of Main Ditch \$ 869.39 1/2
 Cost of Run 38.02

907.41 1/2

Run to Holloran Ditch

No.	Depth of Cut	Width at Bottom	Width at Top	No. Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard	Cost per	Section
0	7.5	14	20	12 1/2	2.50		
1	5.6			27			
2	5.9			30			
3	4.4			26			
+	86 1/2			20	12 1/2	2.50	
				98		12.50	

No rows of tile
 Rows of tile
 Size of tile
 Cost of tile
 Hauling tile per Sec
 Laying tile per Section
 Cleaning & Deadening trench
 Pulling Ditch Per 100 feet
 Total Cost
 Per Section

Main Ditch to consist of rows of 7 in tile to state 5 one row of 10 in tile to state 21 and one row of 12 in tile to state 58 + 5 ft. thence open to state 68. The run to consist of one row of 8 in tile.

30 1/2 rods of 7 in tile	at 65¢	19.50	
97 " " 10 " "	at 1.00	97.00	
228 " " 12 " "	at 1.50	342.00	0.585-0
Cutting Ditch		227.87 1/2	
Laying, Hauling, Cleaning, etc		183.02	869.39 1/2
Run to Holloran Ditch			38.02
			8907.41 1/2

We would respectfully Represent that the following named persons are entitled to fees for service in surveying said Ditch.

John Hollarand	Rodman	2 days	15 ⁰⁰	\$ 3.00
"	"	Furnished hands of "		6.00
G. M. Mahan	Affidavit	2 "		3.00
J. M. Hammond	Chairman	1 1/2 "		2.25
E. J. Cottingham Surveyor of fees				24.00
J. H. Lacey Commissioner of Drainage				18.00
W. H. Hester	"	"	"	6 days.
				18.00

They further specify that the banks of open ditch to be cut smooth and of uniform slope and earth excavated therefrom deposited not near than two feet from top of banks and slope back and away from ditch. Tile is to be of good quality, hard burned and free from cracks & flaws. The bottom of tile portion to be evenly surfaced. The tile laid and inspected before being covered. The tile when laid to present an even, continuous grade. The ditch is here to be filled. All trees, gnubs & sprouts to be deadened to a distance of 16 feet on each side of ditch.

Surface & Elevation & Bench Marks.

Main Ditch begins at Stake 0 at a depth of 3.3 ft surface elevation 50.00 ft, thence on descending grade of $\frac{3}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to Stake 5 surface elev 49.59 ft. Thence on intersect which begins at 0 Elev 48.12 ft and runs on $\frac{1}{100}$ ft per 100 ft. B.M. opposite Stake 17 50 Elev 52.16. Main Ditch continues on descending grade of $\frac{18}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to Stake 11. Surface Elev. 48.8 ft. thence descending grade $\frac{7}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to Stake 18. Surface Elev 43.6 ft. (B.M. White Oak, 75 ft south of 18 Elev. 46.57 ft.) Thence — $\frac{7}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to Stake 20 Elev 42.6 ft. Thence — $\frac{12}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to Stake 42 Surface Elevation 41.7 ft. (B.M. Burr Oak opposite Stake 30 Elev 44.97 ft. B.M. Opposite 42 50 Elev 42.87 ft.) Thence — $\frac{5}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to 47 Elev 37.4 ft. Thence — $\frac{13}{100}$ ft per 100 ft to 58 Elev surface 37.9 ft (B.M. Swamp ash 50 ft North of 58 50. Elev 37.40 ft) Thence — $\frac{3}{100}$ ft per 100 ft. to 68 surface Elev 35.7 ft (B.M. Elev South of 68 3 ft Elev. 36.37 ft) a depression of $\frac{7}{100}$ ft in grade line at mouth of tile.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. L. Long
 Eli Stalker
 E. F. Cottingham Eng.

Commissioners

STATE OF INDIANA)
COUNTY OF HAMILTON) SS:

IN THE HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT
JANUARY TERM, 1958
Cause No. B 2015

IN THE MATTER OF THE
PETITION OF JOHN E. FISHER
ET AL FOR THE REPAIR OF THE
JOHN HOLLERAN DRAIN

PETITION TO REPAIR AND CLEAN OUT OPEN DRAIN

The report of the viewers was favorable and was filed. Report of M. D. Horney, County Surveyor, who was appointed to supervise the construction of above drain, would respectfully report that he has made a survey of the above drain and did proceed and definitely determine the best and cheapest method of drainage, the terminal and route, location, character of the proposed work, and fix the same by metes and bounds, courses and distances and descriptions, including grades and bench marks including all necessary arms, estimated the cost thereof, divided the drain or ditch into sections of not more than one hundred feet in length, and computed and set out the number of cubic yards of excavation in each section, assessed the benefits of damages as the case may be, to each separate tract of land in the water shed to be affected thereby and to easements held by railways or other public or private corporations, or towns, including and land rights, easements, or water power, injuriously or beneficially affected, and do make report to the court under oath.

BOOK PAGE

LOCATION: That said drain is located in Wayne and Noblesville Townships, Hamilton County, Indiana and is described substantially as follows:

Begin 200 feet north of the northeast corner of Section 33, Township 19 north, range 5 east, and run south 77 degrees, west 500 feet, south 87 degrees, west 500 feet, south 68 degrees, west 200 feet, south 82 degrees, west 200 feet, south 89 degrees, west 500 feet, south 74 degrees, west 200 feet, south 50 degrees, west 600 feet, south 37 degrees, west 475 feet, south 88 degrees, west 325 feet, south 86 degrees, west 400 feet, south 70 degrees, west 600 feet, south 54 degrees, west 800 feet, south 50 degrees, west 700 feet, south 25 degrees, west 300 feet, and terminated 20 rods south of the northwest corner of the east half of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter in Section 32, township 19, north, Range 5 east. Also, an arm to said ditch beginning 190 feet south and 225 feet west of the northeast corner of Section 33, Township 19, Range 5 East and run north 460 feet, west 386 feet.

The viewers met on the said drain, inspected and ordered the Engineer to make survey and proceed with the report.

We inspected the drain and found the tie portion from the west line of the East half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 33, where ditch crosses the highway on and along the ditch running northeasterly in bad condition, broken down, obstructed with mud and roots through the Fisher, Carroll and Gentry farms to an open inlet approximately 400 feet west of the East line of the West half of the Northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 19 North, Range 5 East.

BOOK 1 PAGE 2

RECOMMENDATION: We would recommend a new 15 inch tile ditch from the east line of the Highway running North and South on the west side of the Fisher woods-follow near the Center of a new Street Northeastly to the East line of the Fisher Farm-Construct open inlet and continue Northeastly through the Carroll and Gentry Farms. Connect with open inlet approxiamtely 600 feet west of the North and South road.

Continue to inspect and repair tile.

Construct open inlet on the east side of the Highway.

Inspect and repair where required the balance of the 10 inche main ditch, also the 8 inch arm.

Construct open inlets, where instructd by the Engineer or authorized Deputy.

This work will have to be done on a unit Basis and paid for, open for inspection and material required.

At the line between Carroll and Fisher, an open inlet, also one at the west edge of woods or east side of the North and South road, make a junction box, connect the 8 irch tile.

The Right-of-way to be cleared through the woods 25.0 feet on each side of the center line.

Through the willow patches to be cleared 50.0 feet on each side of the center line.

Willows to be pulled, piled and burned.

Paint and spray any stump left in Right-of-way with Standard Brush Killer as recommended by Purdue University.

The Contractor to move and replace all fences he is required to go through.

The ditch to be cut 6 inches wider than the largest diameter of the tile.

Tile to be laid straight and bedded enough to hold.

Shale, Clay and Cement tile to meet Standard Tile Manufactures Specifications.

The fill around the tile should be flushed or well tamped, before making fill.

There shall not be any large stone or boulder in the ditch over the tile.

All work to be done in a neat and workmanlike manner.

The Contractor to furnish reference as to experience and type of Equipment he will use.

All State requirements as per Bond for completion of work, assurance of payment of all claims and liability and property damages.

ESTIMATE:- JOHN HOLLERAN DITCH

1959

1400 lin. ft.	15 inch Concrete Tile	@ \$0.89 per lin. ft.	\$1246.00
1300 lin. ft.	12 inch Concrete Tile	@ \$0.59 per lin. ft.	767.00
1700 lin. ft.	10 inch Concrete Tile	@ \$0.46 per lin. ft.	782.00
4400 lin. ft.	Ditch Digging, Lay & Back Filling		2698.00
4 each	Inlets	@ \$50.00 each	200.00
2 Each	Junction Boxes	@ \$50.00 each	100.00
Clear Right-of-way of Trees, Brush, Willows, Spraying, move and replace fences ect.			<u>457.00</u>
Total			\$6,250.00

Attorney Fees	\$400.00	
Recording	50.00	
Superintendent of Construction	300.00	
Court Costs	50.00	
Contingency	<u>300.00</u>	\$1,100.00

Viewers:-

Dale Hanshaw	3 days	\$30.00	
Marion Aldred	3 days	30.00	
Roy D. Horney, Eng. and Helpers		<u>450.00</u>	<u>510.00</u>

Total \$7,860.00

BOOK 1 PAGE 4

JOHN HOLLERAN DRAIN

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amount of Benefits		Amount of Assessment		Total Assessment		
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	
RUSSELL ROUDEBUSH	Pt. SW	SW	27	19	5	16	00					409	60		
	W 1/2 E 1/2	SE	28	19	5	20	00					512	00		
	Pt W side S 1/2	SE	28	19	5	20	00					512	00	1433	60
CLAUSE GENTRY	Pt SW	SE	28	19	5	37	00					947	20	947	20
ROY L ROUDEBUSH	E 1/2	SW	28	19	5	65	00					1664	00	1664	00
WM. MCCLINTOCK	NE	NE	33	19	5	40	00					1024	00		
	nw	NW	34	19	5	25	00					640	00	1664	00
BYRON CARROLL	Pt NW	NE	33	19	5	25	00					640	00	640	00
JOHN E. FISHER	NE	NW	33	19	5	30	00					768	00	768	00
ALFRED BARKER	N Pt SW	NW	33	19	5	26	00					665	60	665	60
Hamilton County Highways				19	5							150	00	150	00
										Total				7932.40	

STATE OF INDIANA)
COUNTY OF HAMILTON) SS:

We, the undersigned, being each duly sworn, say that we are the surveyor and viewers to whom was referred the petition in the above entitled cause; that the above and foregoing report is true in substance and in fact as we verily believe.

Ray D. Harnsey Surveyor
Marion C. Colquhoun Viewer
W. L. Hamilton Viewer

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10 day of February, 1959

My Commission Expires
12-31-1959

Clarence DeLaney (Seal)
Clerk

BOOK 1000 PAGE 10

STATE OF INDIANA
COUNTY OF HAMILTON

}

SS:

IN THE HAMILTON CIRCUIT COURT
JANUARY TERM, 1959
Cause No. B. ~~2207~~

2015

RE:-
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION
OF JOHN E. FISHER ET AL FOR
THE REPAIR OF THE JOHN HOLLERAN
DRAIN

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT OF THE PETITION TO REPAIR DRAIN

Hearing of the original report was made March 18, 1959.
Roy L. Roubush appeared and protested his acreage assessment.
Alfred Barker land does not enter this portion of repair.
John E. Fisher agreed to pay an extra bonus or assessment of
\$750.00 over and above his original assessment.
Due to increases in cost of material and labor the total cost
will have to be raised.
We herein submit a Supplementary Report of the Engineer and
Viewers.

done 1 PAGE 7

ESTIMATE:- JOHN HOLLERAN DITCH

1(1959))

1400 lin. ft.+-15 inch Concrete Tile @ 80.89 per Lin. ft.	81246.00
1300 lin. ft.+-12 inch Concrete Tile @ 80.59 per lin. ft.	767.00
1700 lin. ft.+-10 inch Concrete Tile @ 80.46 per lin. ft.	782.00
4400 lin. ft.+-Ditch Digging, Lay & Back Filling	2698.00
4 each Inlets @ 850.00 each	200.00
2 each Junction Boxes @ 850.00 each	100.00
Clearing right-of-way of Trees, brush, willows, spraying, move and replace fences ect.	<u>457.00</u>
Total	86,250.00

Attorney Fees	\$400.00	
Recording Fees	50.00	
Superintendent of Construction	300.00	
Court Costs	50.00	
Contingency	<u>500.00</u>	1,300.00

VIEWERS:-

Dale Manshaw	3 days	830.00	
Marion Aldred	3 days	30.00	
Ray D. Morney, Eng. and helpers		<u>450.56</u>	<u>510.56</u>
	TOTAL		88,060.56

Wm L. [Signature]

JOHN HOLLERAM DRAIN

NAMES	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS	Section	Township	Range	Acres Assessed		Acres Benefited		Amount of Benefits		Amount of Assessment		Total Assessment	
					A	Hun	A	Hun	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
RUSSELL HOUDENUSH	PT SW	SW	27	19	5	16	00					455	52	
	W 1/2 E 1/2	SE	28	19	5	20	00					569	40	
	PT W. side S 1/2	SE	28	19	5	20	00					569	40	1594 32
CLAUDE GENTRY	PT NW	NE	26	19	5	37	00					1053	39	1053 39
ROY L. HOUDENUSH	E 1/2	SW	26	19	5	35	00					996	45	996 45
WM MCCLINTOCK	NE	NE	33	19	5	40	00					1158	80	
	NW	NW	34	19	5	25	00					711	75	1850 55
BYRON CARROLL	PT NW	NE	33	19	5	25	00					711	75	711 75
JOHN B. FISHER	NE	NW	35	19	5	30	00					854	10	
	EXTRA AGREED ASSESSMENT											750	00	1604 10
HAMILTON COUNTY HIGHWAYS														250 00
TOTAL											8806	56		

STATE OF INDIANA }
COUNTY OF HAMILTON } SS:

We, the undersigned, being each duly sworn, say that we are the surveyor and viewers to whom was referred the petition in the above entitled cause; that the above and foregoing report is true in substance and in fact as we verily believe.

Ray D. Horney Surveyor
Maxie C. Boyd Viewer
Delbert H. Harkness Viewer

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9 day of

June.1959

Clarence T. Selvey (Seal)
Clerk

BOOK 1 PAGE 10



Hamilton County Surveyor's Office

One Hamilton County Square, Suite 188

Noblesville, Indiana 46060

Office: (317) 776-8495 Fax: (317) 776-9628

Work Order

Work Order No: WO-2003-00140 Urgency of Repair: ASAP

Issue Date: June 04, 2003

Drain Name: # 126 WILSON, ELWOOD

Classification-Type: Rural-Maintenance

Description: Elwood Wilson Drain - Broken Tile

Job Location: 186th Street and Promise Road

Contact: Jeff Biggs

(317) 773-1742

Contractor's Instructions:

Ten plus feet of tile missing at riser west of Promise Road--field is planted--go ahead per Jim Harger. some fill dirt will be required. Also repair small hole on north side of old Rail Road south of St Rd 32 behind Goeke's.

Applicant:

Biggs, Jeffrey Byron & Terry Lee

166 Thurston DR

Noblesville, IN 46060

Owner:

Essington Farm Inc


1414 Demaree Rd W

Dr Robert E Dicks

Greenwood, IN 46143

Parcel:

Noblesville 10-07-33-00-00-001.000



This copy printed from the Digital Archive of the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office, One Hamilton Co. Square, Ste. 188, Noblesville, In 46060

**Contractor's Itemized Claim
(Work Orders)**

Hamilton County Surveyor's Office
One Hamilton County Square
Noblesville, Indiana 46060

Drain: #126 WILSON, ELWOOD

Work Order No: 2003-00140

Issue Date: 6/4/03

Completed Date: 6/16/03

Drain Classification: Rural

Quantity:	Item:	Price Per Unit:	Cost:
3	hrs backhoe	45.00	135.00
3	hrs truck	20.00	60.00
2	hrs saw	7.00	14.00
8	hrs labor	28.00	224.00
18'	12" tile	4.00	72.00
3	bags sand mix	6.00	18.00
6	cu.yd. fill dirt	7.50	45.00

Total Cost : 568.00

For Contractor's Comments See Contractor's Log Sheet.

Signature: _____

Date: _____